

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,789	1	1/08/2001	Jerome T. Hartlaub	11738.00038	2022
27581	7590	07/18/2006		EXAM	INER
	NIC, INC.		HAN, MARK K		
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	<b>,</b>			3767	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,789	HARTLAUB, JEROME T	г.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark K. Han	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  pply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communic  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	7 April 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-17 is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 November 2001</u> i Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ⊠ the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No( 708) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	<del></del>	060740
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200	100710

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07 April 2006 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 8-17 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 29 August 2003.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the second reservoir of claim 1 and second pump of claim 2 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing

Art Unit: 3767

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,643,207 to Rise in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,584,885 to Seckel.

  Rise discloses an implantable infusion device for delivering a medicament composition to a target site through a catheter having a reservoir and medicament composition. Rise, however, does not disclose that the medicament composition include living cells, a second medicament composition and a second pump. Seckel teaches a medicament composition including a living cells and second medicament composition (col. 7, line 17 through col. 8, line 12). Seckel also

Application/Control Number: 10/005,789 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

suggests that different medicaments can be delivered through different ports, thereby requiring different reservoirs. See col. 12, lines 25-33. Such delivery would require a second pump. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Rise by including the composition of Seckel and a second pump to provide a therapeutic effect to more than one part of the body. In reference to claims 5 and 6, it is considered to be inherent that such cells will produce an exogenous substance.

5. Claims 7, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rise in view of Seckel, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,738,129 to Medenica.

Rise and Seckel disclose the claimed invention except for a reservoir containing a cell maintainer adaptive to maintain the cells in a dormant state, wherein the cell maintainer comprises a coating on the interior of the reservoir and wherein the cell maintainer comprises vitamin A derivative retinoic acid. Medenica discloses such a composition. See col. 4, line 5 through col. 6, line 18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the cell maintainer of Medenica in the invention of Rise and Seckel since such reservoirs are notoriously well known in the art for allowing cells to maintain a dormant state.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 18-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Contact Information**

Application/Control Number: 10/005,789 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark K. Han whose telephone number is 571-272-4958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark K. Han Patent Examiner Art Unit 3767

mah.

mkh July 10, 2006

KEVIN C. SIRMONS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Kevin C. Surmons